RAMONA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Audit Report

STANDARDIZED TESTING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Chapter 828, Statutes of 1997

July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004



JOHN CHIANG
California State Controller

March 2012



March 2, 2012

Dan Lopez, President School Board Ramona Unified School District 720 Ninth Street Ramona, CA 92065

Dear Mr. Lopez:

The State Controller's Office audited the costs claimed by the Ramona Unified School District for the legislatively mandated Standardized Testing and Reporting Program (Chapter 828, Statutes of 1997) for the period of July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004.

The district claimed \$862,833 for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$233,194 is allowable and \$629,639 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable primarily because the district claimed unsupported and ineligible costs. The State paid the district \$154,147. Allowable costs claimed exceed the amount paid by \$79,047.

If you have any questions, please contact Jim L. Spano, Chief, Mandated Cost Audits Bureau, at (916) 323-5849.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/vb

cc: Robert W. Graeff, Superintendent

Ramona Unified School District

David Ostermann, Assistant Superintendent, Administrative Services

Ramona Unified School District

Tina Tuning, Fiscal Services Manager

Ramona Unified School District

Randolph E. Ward, Ed.D., County Superintendent of Schools

San Diego County Office of Education

Scott Hannan, Director

School Fiscal Services Division

California Department of Education

Carol Bingham, Director

Fiscal Policy Division

California Department of Education

Thomas Todd, Principal Program Budget Analyst

Education Systems Unit

Department of Finance

Jay Lal, Manager

Division of Accounting and Reporting

State Controller's Office

Contents

Audit Report

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	2
Views of Responsible Official	3
Restricted Use	3
Schedule 1—Summary of Program Costs	4
Findings and Recommendations	9

Audit Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the costs claimed by the Ramona Unified School District for the legislatively mandated Standardized Testing and Reporting Program (Chapter 828, Statutes of 1997) for the period of July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004.

The district claimed \$862,833 for the mandated program. Our audit disclosed that \$233,194 is allowable and \$629,639 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable primarily because the district claimed unsupported and ineligible costs. The State paid the district \$154,147. Allowable costs claimed exceed the amount paid by \$79,047.

Background

Chapter 828, Statutes 1997, amended Education Code Sections 60607, 60609, 60615, and 60630 and added Education Code Sections 60640 through 60641, and 60643. Chapter 828, Statutes 1997, and the implementing regulations at California Code of Regulations, Title 5, sections 850 through 904, established the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program related to achievement testing that school districts must administer to pupils in the State.

The STAR program requires school districts, between March 15 and May 15 each year, to test all students in grades 2 through 11 with a nationally normed achievement test as designated by the State Board of Education. School districts administered the Stanford Achievement Test Ninth Edition (SAT/9) test in English to all pupils enrolled in grades 2 through 11 from fiscal year (FY) 1997-98 through FY 2001-02. The California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition Survey (CAT/6) replaced the SAT-9 test effective for FY 2002-03. School districts administered the CAT/6 test in English to all pupils enrolled in grades 2 through 11 for FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04. In addition, school districts administered an additional test, the Spanish Assessment of Basic Education, Second Edition (SABE/2), to every Spanish-speaking pupil of limited English proficiency who was enrolled in grades 2 through 11, if the pupil was initially enrolled in any public school in the state less than 12 months prior to the date that the English language SAT/9 test was given. School districts are also required to engage in numerous activities related to test administration and reporting.

On August 24, 2000, the Commission on State Mandates (CSM) determined that the legislation imposed a state mandate reimbursable under Government Code section 17561.

The program's parameters and guidelines establish the state mandate and define reimbursement criteria. The CSM adopted the parameters and guidelines on January 24, 2002. In compliance with Government Code section 17558, the SCO issues claiming instructions, to assist local agencies and school districts in claiming mandated program reimbursable costs.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

We conducted the audit to determine whether costs claimed represent increased costs resulting from the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program for the period of July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004.

Our audit scope included, but was not limited to, determining whether costs claimed were supported by appropriate source documents, were not funded by another source, and were not unreasonable and/or excessive.

We conducted this performance audit under the authority of Government Code sections 12410, 17558.5, and 17561. We did not audit the district's financial statements. We conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

We limited our review of the district's internal controls to gaining an understanding of the transaction flow and claim preparation process as necessary to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

Conclusion

Our audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements outlined above. These instances are described in the accompanying Summary of Program Costs (Schedule 1) and in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

For the audit period, the Ramona Unified School District claimed \$862,833 for costs of the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program. Our audit disclosed that \$233,194 is allowable and \$629,639 is unallowable.

For the fiscal year (FY) 1997-98 claim, the State made no payments to the district. Our audit disclosed that the \$25,918 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$25,918, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 1998-99 claim, the State made no payments to the district. Our audit disclosed that the \$15,677 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$15,677, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 1999-2000 claim, the State paid the district \$33,536 from the funds appropriated under Chapter 724, Statutes of 2010. Our audit disclosed that the \$35,618 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$2,082, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 2000-01 claim, the State paid the district \$120,611 from the funds appropriated under Chapter 724, Statutes of 2010. Our audit disclosed that the \$36,601 is allowable. The State will apply \$84,010 against any balances of unpaid mandated program claims due to the district as of October 19, 2010.

For the FY 2001-02 claim, the State made no payments to the district. Our audit disclosed that the \$41,103 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$41,103, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 2002-03 claim, the State made no payments to the district. Our audit disclosed that the \$41,399 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$41,399, contingent upon available appropriations.

For the FY 2003-04 claim, the State made no payments to the district. Our audit disclosed that the \$36,878 is allowable. The State will pay allowable costs claimed that exceed the amount paid, totaling \$36,878, contingent upon available appropriations.

Views of Responsible Official

We issued a draft audit report on February 7, 2012. Tina Tuning, Fiscal Services Manager, responded by e-mail dated February 15, 2012, agreeing with the audit results based on the time study performed during the course of the audit.

Restricted Use

This report is solely for the information and use of the Ramona Unified School District, the San Diego County Office of Education, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD Chief, Division of Audits

March 2, 2012

Schedule 1— Summary of Program Costs July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Audit		Audit Adjustments		Reference ¹
July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998							
Direct costs:							
Salaries and benefits:							
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	8,200	\$	3,868	\$	(4,332)	Finding 1
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		153		-		(153)	Finding 1
Pre-test and post-test coordination		56,698		45,985		(10,713)	Finding 1
Test administration		-		4,673		4,673	Finding 1
Reporting and recordkeeping		37,033		3,229		(33,804)	Finding 1
Total salaries and benefits		102,084		57,755		(44,329)	
Materials and supplies:							
Reporting and recordkeeping		_		2,374		2,374	Finding 2
Total direct costs		102,084		60,129		(41,955)	
Indirect costs		4,869		2,868		(2,001)	Finding 3
Total direct and indirect costs		106,953		62,997		(43,956)	
Less offsetting reimbursements		-		(37,079)		(37,079)	Finding 4
Total program cost	\$	106,953		25,918	\$	(81,035)	
Less amount paid by the State				-			
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) an	nount	t paid	\$	25,918			
July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999							
Direct costs:							
Salaries and benefits:							
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	8,394	\$	1,158	\$	(7,236)	Finding 1
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		157		-		(157)	Finding 1
Pre-test and post-test coordination		59,507		34,376		(25,131)	Finding 1
Test administration		-		3,364		3,364	Finding 1
Reporting and recordkeeping		38,907		3,054		(35,853)	Finding 1
Total salaries and benefits		106,965		41,952		(65,013)	
Materials and supplies:							
Reporting and recordkeeping				1,640		1,640	Finding 2
Total direct costs		106,965		43,592		(63,373)	
Indirect costs		5,103		2,114		(2,989)	Finding 3
Total direct and indirect costs		112,068		45,706		(66,362)	
Less offsetting reimbursements				(30,029)		(30,029)	Finding 4
Total program cost	\$	112,068		15,677	\$	(96,391)	
Less amount paid by the State				-		-	
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) an	nount	t paid	\$	15,677			
			<u></u>	,			

Cost Elements		Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Audit		Audit justments	Reference 1
July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000							
Direct costs:							
Salaries and benefits:							
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	8,394	\$	1,591	\$	(6,803)	Finding 1
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		157		-		(157)	Finding 1
Pre-test and post-test coordination		59,507		33,653		(25,854)	Finding 1
Test administration		-		3,513		3,513	Finding 1
Reporting and recordkeeping		38,907		2,726		(36,181)	Finding 1
Total salaries and benefits		106,965		41,483		(65,482)	
Materials and supplies:							
Reporting and recordkeeping		1,779		1,620		(159)	Finding 2
Total direct costs		108,744		43,103		(65,641)	
Indirect costs		5,187		1,819		(3,368)	Finding 3
Total direct and indirect costs		113,931		44,922		(69,009)	
Less offsetting reimbursements		-		(9,304)		(9,304)	Finding 4
Total program cost	\$	113,931		35,618	\$	(78,313)	
Less amount paid by the State ²				(33,536)			
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) ar	noun	t paid	\$	2,082			
July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001							
Direct costs:							
Salaries and benefits:							
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	9,398	\$	1,040	\$	(8,358)	Finding 1
Test materials, supplies, and equipment	·	165		-	·	(165)	Finding 1
Pre-test and post-test coordination		63,877		34,377		(29,500)	Finding 1
Test administration		-		3,406		3,406	Finding 1
Reporting and recordkeeping		41,801		2,852		(38,949)	Finding 1
Total salaries and benefits		115,241		41,675		(73,566)	
Materials and supplies:							
Reporting and recordkeeping				1,546		1,546	Finding 2
Total direct costs		115,241		43,221		(72,020)	
Indirect costs		5,370		2,014		(3,356)	Finding 3
Total direct and indirect costs		120,611		45,235		(75,376)	
Less offsetting reimbursements				(8,634)		(8,634)	Finding 4
Total program cost	\$	120,611		36,601	\$	(84,010)	
Less amount paid by the State ²			(120,611)			
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) ar	noun	t paid	\$	(84,010)			

Cost Elements		Actual Costs Claimed		Allowable per Audit		Audit ljustments	Reference ¹	
July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002								
Direct costs:								
Salaries and benefits:								
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	34,979	\$	1,076	\$	(33,903)	Finding 1	
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		165		-		(165)	Finding 1	
Pre-test and post-test coordination		73,347		37,338		(36,009)	Finding 1	
Test administration		-		3,667		3,667	Finding 1	
Reporting and recordkeeping		47,726		3,077		(44,649)	Finding 1	
Total salaries and benefits		156,217		45,158		(111,059)		
Materials and supplies:								
Reporting and recordkeeping				1,730		1,730	Finding 2	
Total direct costs		156,217		46,888		(109,329)		
Indirect costs		11,076		3,324		(7,752)	Finding 3	
Total direct and indirect costs		167,293		50,212		(117,081)		
Less offsetting reimbursements		-		(9,109)		(9,109)	Finding 4	
Total program cost	\$	167,293		41,103	\$	(126,190)		
Less amount paid by the State				_	<u></u>	(-,,		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than)	amoun	t paid	\$	41,103				
		F						
July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003								
Direct costs:								
Salaries and benefits:	_				_			
Training, policies, and procedures	\$	53,260	\$	1,379	\$	(51,881)	Finding 1	
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		4,947		-		(4,947)	Finding 1	
Pre-test and post-test coordination		59,161		36,615		(22,546)	Finding 1	
Test administration		16,792		3,658		(13,134)	Finding 1	
Reporting and recordkeeping		20,998		2,946		(18,052)	Finding 1	
Total salaries and benefits		155,158		44,598		(110,560)		
Materials and supplies:								
Test materials, supplies, and equipment		3,845		1,208		(2,637)	Finding 2	
Reporting and recordkeeping				1,682		1,682	Finding 2	
Total materials and supplies		3,845		2,890		(955)		
Total direct costs		159,003		47,488		(111,515)		
Indirect costs		7,410		2,845		(4,565)	Finding 3	
Total direct and indirect costs		166,413		50,333		(116,080)		
Less offsetting reimbursements				(8,934)		(8,934)	Finding 4	
Total program cost	\$	166,413	· <u> </u>	41,399	\$	(125,014)		
Less amount paid by the State								
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than)	amoun	t paid	\$	41,399				

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit	Audit Adjustments	Reference ¹	
		Perriadi	110,000 11101110	110101100	
July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004					
Direct costs:					
Salaries and benefits:					
Training, policies, and procedures	\$ 10,005	\$ 1,243	\$ (8,762)	Finding 1	
Test materials, supplies, and equipment	131	-	(131)	Finding 1	
Pre-test and post-test coordination	31,576	34,432	2,856	Finding 1	
Test administration	16,256	3,600	(12,656)	Finding 1	
Reporting and recordkeeping	13,502	2,667	(10,835)	Finding 1	
Total salaries and benefits	71,470	41,942	(29,528)		
Materials and supplies:					
Test materials, supplies, and equipment	729	95	(634)		
Reporting and recordkeeping		1,635	1,635	Finding 2	
Total materials and supplies	729	1,730	1,001		
Total direct costs	72,199	43,672	(28,527)		
Indirect costs	3,365	2,035	(1,330)	Finding 3	
Total direct and indirect costs	75,564	45,707	(29,857)		
Less offsetting reimbursements	-	(8,829)	(8,829)	Finding 4	
Total program cost	\$ 75,564	36,878	\$ (38,686)		
Less amount paid by the State		-			
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) a	mount paid	\$ 36,878			
Summary: July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004					
Direct costs:					
Salaries and benefits:					
Training, policies, and procedures	\$ 132,630	\$ 11,355	\$ (121,275)		
Test materials, supplies, and equipment	5,875	-	(5,875)		
Pre-test and post-test coordination	403,673	256,776	(146,897)		
Test administration	33,048	25,881	(7,167)		
Reporting and recordkeeping	238,874	20,551	(218,323)		
Total salaries and benefits	814,100	314,563	(499,537)		
Materials and supplies:					
Test materials, supplies, and equipment	4,574	1,303	(3,271)		
Reporting and recordkeeping	1,779	12,227	10,448		
Total materials and supplies	6,353	13,530	7,177		

Cost Elements	Actual Costs Claimed	Allowable per Audit	Audit Adjustments	Reference ¹
<u>Summary: July 1, 1997, through June 30, 2004</u> (cor	ntinued)			
Total direct costs	820,453	328,093	(492,360)	
Indirect costs	42,380	17,019	(25,361)	
Total direct and indirect costs	862,833	345,112	(517,721)	
Less offsetting reimbursements		(111,918)	(111,918)	
Total program cost	\$ 862,833	233,194	\$ (629,639)	
Less amount paid by the State		(154,147)		
Allowable costs claimed in excess of (less than) ar	\$ 79,047			

¹ See the Findings and Recommendations section.

 $^{^2}$ Payment from funds appropriated under Chapter 724, Statutes of 2010 (Assembly Bill 1610).

Findings and Recommendations

FINDING 1— Unallowable salaries and benefits The district claimed \$814,100 in salaries and benefits costs for the audit period. We determined that \$314,563 is allowable and \$499,537 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because the district claimed unsupported and ineligible costs.

The following table summarizes the unallowable salaries and benefits costs by fiscal year and reimbursable activities:

				Fiscal Year				
Cost Categories	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total
Salaries and benefits: Training policies and								
procedures	\$ (4,332)	\$ (7,236)	\$ (6,803)	\$ (8,358)	\$(33,903)	\$(51,881)	\$ (8,762)	\$(121,275)
Test materials, supplies,								
and equipment	(153)	(157)	(157)	(165)	(165)	(4,947)	(131)	(5,875)
Pre-test and post-test								
coordination	(10,713)	(25,131)	(25,854)	(29,500)	(36,009)	(22,546)	2,856	(146,897)
Test administration	4,673	3,364	3,513	3,406	3,667	(13,134)	(12,656)	(7,167)
Reporting and								
recordkeeping	(33,804)	(35,853)	(36,181)	(38,949)	(44,649)	(18,052)	(10,835)	(218,323)
Audit adjustment	\$ (44,329)	\$ (65,013)	\$ (65,482)	\$ (73,566)	\$(111,059)	\$(110,560)	\$ (29,528)	\$(499,537)

Unsupported Costs

Initially, all claimed salaries and benefits were unallowable because they were not supported. However, we worked with district representatives to develop time standards for determining allowable costs for FY 1997-98 through FY 2003-04. The time standards were developed based on the district's time study, our site visits, time surveys, and observations of activities. The district conducted a time study during the Spring 2010 testing period. The results were applied to the audit period.

Time Study Methodology

We randomly selected a sample of four out of eight schools in the district: two elementary schools, one middle school, and one high school. The sampled school sites represented 50% of the school population. We interviewed school site representatives and the district coordinator to gain an understanding of the testing process. We also performed time surveys and observations of activities during our site visits.

The district also developed a time tracking form for the time study performed at the sampled school sites. The forms recorded hours attributed to reimbursable activities for the duration of the Spring 2010 testing period. We analyzed the time study results and determined allowable hours for the audit period. The allowable hours were based on the time increments reported in the time study and the number of students tested in each fiscal year.

We determined allowable hours for various reimbursable activities as follows:

- Training hours were determined based on our time surveys and interviews at school sites and the district office;
- Reporting and recordkeeping hours were determined based on our observation of this activity at various school sites in our sample and at the district office; and
- Hours for pre-test and post-test coordination and test administration were determined based on the time study performed by the district.

Reimbursable Percentage

To calculate allowable costs, we multiplied the allowable hours by the allowable productive hourly rates for various district personnel involved in each reimbursable activity. We further calculated what portion of the salaries and benefits were attributed to the STAR tests that are reimbursable under the mandate.

The district's STAR costs included the following tests: California Standards Tests (CST); California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA); California Modified Assessment (CMA); Stanford Achievement Test, Ninth Edition (SAT/9); California Achievement Test, Sixth Edition Survey (CAT/6 Survey); and Spanish Assessment of Basic Education, Second Edition (SABE/2). The CST, CAPA, and CMA tests are not reimbursable because they were not pled in the test claim that created this mandate. The district accounted for the costs of the STAR tests collectively, without separately indentifying costs by individual test. Consequently, the district claimed costs not reimbursable under the mandate.

To segregate reimbursable costs, we determined the percentages of the tests that related to the mandate based on the number of tests published on the California Department of Education (CDE) STAR website. The reimbursable percentages represented the ratio of mandated tests divided by all STAR tests administered in the district. We applied the reimbursable percentages to allowable costs. The reimbursable percentages for mandated costs were as follows: 100% for FY 1997-98, 69.6% for FY 1998-99, 69.3% for FY 1999-2000, 62.7% for FY 2000-01, 64.5% for FY 2001-02, 62.7% for FY 2002-03, and 61.0% for FY 2003-04.

The program's parameters and guidelines (section V.A.1) state:

Report each employee implementing the reimbursable activities by name, job classification, and productive hourly rate (total wages and related benefits divided by productive hours). Describe the specific reimbursable activities performed and the hours devoted to each reimbursable activity performed.

The parameters and guidelines (section VI.A.) state that all incurred costs claimed must be traceable to source documents that show evidence of the validity and relationship to the reimbursable activities.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district ensure that all claimed costs are for activities reimbursable under the program's parameters and guidelines and that costs are properly supported with source documents.

District's Response

The district agreed with the audit finding.

FINDING 2— Understated materials and supplies

The district claimed \$6,353 in materials and supplies costs for the audit period. Our audit determined that claimed costs were understated by net amount of \$7,177 for the audit period (overstated by \$3,430 and understated by \$10,607).

The following table summarizes the misstated materials and supplies costs by fiscal year and reimbursable activities:

	Fiscal Year													
Cost Categories	1997	7-98	199	8-99	1999-	2000	200	00-01	2001	-02	20	002-03	2003-04	Total
Materials and supplies: Test materials, supplies, and equipment	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(2,637)	\$ (634)	\$(3,271)
Reporting and recordkeeping	2	374	1	.640	(159)		1.546	1 '	730		1.682	1.635	10,448
recordkeeping		374		0+0		137)		1,540		/30		1,062	1,033	10,446
Audit adjustment	\$ 2,	374	\$ 1,	,640	\$ (159)	\$	1,546	\$ 1,	730	\$	(955)	\$ 1,001	\$ 7,177

For the Test Materials, Supplies, and Equipment component, the district claimed unallowable costs totaling \$3,271 for FY 2002-03 and FY 2003-04. The overstated costs related to unallowable practice test costs totaling \$2,637 in FY 2002-03 and unsupported costs totaling \$634 in FY 2003-04.

For the Reporting and Recordkeeping component, the district understated costs by net amount of \$10,448. The district did not claim reporting and recordkeeping costs for FY 1997-98, FY 1998-99, or FY 2000-01 through FY 2003-04, and overclaimed costs for FY 1999-2000. We calculated materials and supplies expenses related to mailing student test results to parents. We calculated postage, copying, and envelope costs for the total number of students tested in the district in each fiscal year. We then determined allowable costs by dividing the number of mandated STAR tests by all STAR tests administered, and then multiplying the result by the total supported STAR costs (as identified in Finding 1).

The parameters and guidelines (sections IV.E.) state:

The cost of materials and supplies used for reports (including, paper and envelopes), the cost of postage for mailing reports to parents, and the cost of computer programming used for reporting purposes is reimbursable under this activity.

The parameters and guidelines (sections V.A.2.) require the district to report the cost of materials and supplies that have been consumed or expended for the purpose of the reimbursable activities.

The parameters and guidelines (sections VI.A) state that all incurred costs claimed must be traceable to source documents that show evidence of the validity and relationship to the reimbursable activities.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district ensure that all claimed costs are for activities reimbursable under the program's parameters and guidelines and that costs are properly supported with source documents.

District's Response

The district agreed with the audit finding.

FINDING 3— Overstated indirect costs

The district claimed \$42,380 in indirect costs for the audit period. Our audit determined that \$17,019 is allowable and \$25,361 is unallowable. The costs are unallowable because of the direct cost adjustments identified in Findings 1 and 2. The district also misstated indirect costs by a net amount of \$377 (understated by \$615 for FY 1998-99 and FY 2002-03 and overstated by \$238 for FY 1999-2000). The misstated costs occurred because the district erroneously claimed incorrect indirect cost rates for FY 1998-99, FY 1999-2000, and FY 2002-03. The district claimed FY 1997-98 indirect cost rate for FY 1998-99 and FY 1999-2000. It also claimed FY 2000-01 indirect cost rate for FY 2002-03.

The following tables summarize the indirect cost rate variances and indirect cost calculations by fiscal year:

			Fiscal Year										
			199	98-99	1999-2	000	2002-03						
Indirect cost rates	:			<u></u>	,	<u>_</u>		='					
Allowable indirect	4	.85%	4.22%		5.99%								
Claimed indirect	4	.77%_	4.7	77%_	4.66%	_							
Indirect rate adjustments			0	.08%	(0.55	5)%	1.33%						
				Fiscal Year									
	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total					
Indirect costs:													
Allowable direct costs	\$ 60,129	\$ 43,592	\$ 43,103	\$ 43,221	\$ 46,888	\$ 47,488	\$ 43,672	\$328,093					
Allowable indirect rate	4.77%	4.85%	4.22%	4.66%	7.09%	5.99%	4.66%						
Allowable indirect costs	\$ 2,868	\$ 2,114	\$ 1,819	\$ 2,014	\$ 3,324	\$ 2,845	\$ 2,035	17,019					
Less claimed indirect costs	4,869	5,103	5,187	5,370	11,076	7,410	3,365	42,380					
Audit adjustment	\$ (2,001)	\$ (2,989)	\$ (3,368)	\$ (3,356)	\$ (7,752)	\$ (4,565)	\$ (1,330)	\$ (25,361)					

The parameters and guidelines (section V.B.) state that school districts must use the indirect cost rate approved by the California Department of Education.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district ensure that the indirect rates it claims agree with CDE-approved rates and that indirect costs are mandate-related and appropriately supported.

District's Response

The district agreed with the audit finding.

FINDING 4— Understated offsetting reimbursements

The district understated the mandate portion of STAR apportionments by \$111,918 for the audit period.

The district did not report the mandate-related portion of annual STAR apportionments it received from the CDE. We calculated the understated reimbursement by:

- Verifying the amounts of annual STAR apportionments received by the district, and
- Applying the reimbursable ratio of mandated STAR tests to the number of all STAR tests administered (as identified in Finding 1).

The following table summarizes the understated offsetting reimbursements by fiscal year:

	Fiscal Year									
	1997-98	1998-99	1999-2000	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total		
Offsetting reimbursements:										
CDE apportionments	\$ 37,079	\$ 43,145	\$ 13,426	\$ 13,771	\$14,122	\$ 14,249	\$14,474	\$ 150,266		
Mandate-related percentage	(100)%	(69.6)%	(69.3)%	(62.7)%	(64.5)%	(62.7)%	(61.0)%			
Allowable apportionments	(37,079)	(30,029)	(9,304)	(8,634)	(9,109)	(8,934)	(8,829)	\$ (111,918)		
Claimed apportionments										
Audit adjustment	\$ (37,079)	\$ (30,029)	\$ (9,304)	\$ (8,634)	\$ (9,109)	\$ (8,934)	\$ (8,829)	\$ (111,918)		

The parameters and guidelines (section VII) state:

Any offsetting savings the claimant experiences in the same program as a result of the same statutes or executive orders found to contain the mandate shall be deducted from the costs claimed. In addition, reimbursement for this mandate received from any source, including but not limited to, service fees collected, federal funds, and other state funds, shall be identified and deducted from the claim.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district ensure that all applicable reimbursements are offset on its claims against its mandated program costs.

District's Response

The district agreed with the audit finding.

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250-5874

http://www.sco.ca.gov